

Film dubbing and the Impact of English to Persian Translation on Communicative preferences

Sholeh Kolahi

*Assistant Professor, English Language Department
E-Campus Branch, Islamic Azad university, Tehran, Iran*

Ahmad LiaghatiNasseri

Islamic Azad University, E-Campus Branch, Tehran, Iran

Abstract: *Dubbing is the most prevalent method used to make foreign-language films available to visual media of countries. The dialogues that actors use in the films are the main factors for understanding the main purpose of the directors in any genre. Orientation toward the function of the source text can be realized in the target text through the correct and appropriate translation of the speech acts. It causes dubbing for a film from other countries with different culture to be more comprehensive and enables people to perceive the main points of directors' idea. This study examined the influence of English to Persian translation of the films on the communicative preferences in the target language. The corpora of the study are films with different genres with their dubbed versions. Searle's (1979) categorization of illocutionary acts was examined and attempted to investigate the influences of the target language in establishing the communicative preferences. The dialogues of the movies dubbed in IRIB were transformed to written texts. Then by comparing the source and the target corpora based on the study's theoretical framework the mismatches between the two languages were examined and analyzed. The results showed that although the correspondence percentage between the main dialogues and the dubbed versions was high, there were some key sentences that were changed in meaning and had affected the film's intended purpose.*

Keywords: *dubbing, speech acts, communicative preferences, audio-visual translation*

I. Introduction

The best-known and the most widespread forms of audiovisual translation are dubbing and subtitling. Dubbing is one of the translation methods which make use of the acoustic channeling screen translation. It involves the replacement of the original speech by a voice track which attempts to follow as closely as possible the timing, phrasing and lip movements of the original dialogue (Gonzalez, 2009, P.13).

According to Chiaro (2009, P. 97), "dubbing is often condemned for spoiling the original soundtrack and denying audiences the opportunity of hearing the voices of the original actors". Moreover, when a film in dubbed version is compared with its original version, there are some discrepancies and this problem is raised due to a number of factors including cultural differences, red lines in the target language society, censoring scenes or incorrect translation.

The dialogue of a dubbed film is always the result of a three- staged translation and editing processes. At the first stage, the rough translation, the text is translated from source language (SL) to target language (TL) mostly word by word. This is certainly one of the major trouble spots as the rough translation serves as the basis for the later stages. At the second stage, the dubbing author makes the necessary changes in order to achieve a high degree of lip-synch as well as gesture- synch. At the third stage, the actual recording, the dubbing author, or the technicians might cause further changes. The rough translation usually influences the dubbed version on various levels; thus, mistakes of rough translations might still be present in the final dubbed version (Herbst, 1994).

II. Review of the Related Literature

Discourse Analysis and Translation

Carter (1982, p.184) defines discourse as "the organization of connected text beyond the level of the sentence". It is "a unit of linguistic performance which stands complete in itself" (Chapman, 2009, p.100).

Speaking about translation with reference to discourse analysis has a very strong tradition both in the discipline of translation studies itself and translator training. Interpretation is not supposed to take place from the micro level of the word (bottom-up processing) but from the macro structure of the text to the micro unit of the word (top down processing). Orientation towards the function of the target text prevails prescriptions concerning the target text by relating it to the source text, and translations are regarded as concrete assignments serving specific functions.

According to Munday (2009, P.228), "In attempting to express themselves, people do not only produce sentences that are well formed, they perform actions via utterances that are intended to achieve specific purposes. Given this, all the dialogues of movies, which are sentences plus actions, can be considered as speech acts.

A great deal can be said in the study of language without studying speech act, but any such purely formal theory is necessarily incomplete (Searle, 1977, P.17).

Based on Searle (1979, P.30), "not all cases of meaning are simple – those cases that speaker's utterances mean exactly what he says. In hints, insinuations, irony and metaphor, to mention a few examples, the speaker's utterance meaning and sentence meaning come apart in various ways. One important class of such cases, according to Searle (1979), is that in which the speaker utters a sentence, means what he says, but also means something more. The illocutionary force of an utterance is said to have the particular use of an expression on a specific occasion. It needs to be differentiated from its propositional content; the semantic information that an utterance contains (House, 1997, P.22).

Unfortunately, recent researches done by Iranian academia, particularly translation studies' masters have not been seriously engaged in Multimedia Translation issues; there is lack of such topic in this field. Among the few studies done, Jati Handayani (2012)'s research can be mentioned. She studied speech acts in "Body of Lies" film. She analyzed the collected data considering different types of speech acts like directness, literalness. The results of the study revealed discrepancies in the conveyed message of the film mostly because of the different context of the speech acts.

III. Method

This study was about to run a qualitative inquiry into three well-known Hollywood movies by Stanley Kubrick translated and dubbed into Persian by IRIB (Islamic Republic of Iran Broadcasting) based on Searle's categorization of speech acts. There was a focus on the actors' sayings, which produced a special effect whenever a talk exchange was held between the participants. The main concern of the study was focusing on the communicative functions. This function would probably be pointed at by most language users without major consideration. According to Searl (1979) Requesting, apologizing, informing, ordering as well as promising and refusing are all reasons for communicating our ideas.

In the present research, the communicative preferences are synonym to communicating functions and are considered operationally as the speech acts in film's dialogues, which contain requesting, apologizing, ordering, promising, informing, and refusing. Accordingly, Searle (1979)'s categorization of illocutionary acts in five macro classes: a) representative b) directive c) commissive d) expressive e) declarative was the theoretical framework to compare and analyze the process through which the speakers (actors) intended meaning in the utterances that were translated into the dubbed version. The result of the study would cause some variation in the translation studies curriculum as well as in the policy of the Iranian cinematic and audiovisual studios when it comes down to the translation of audiovisual products like feature films.

Research Questions

To be more specific on the way to which the study is about to investigate communicative preferences, the following research questions were raised:

Q₁: what is the frequency of the macro classes of the illocutionary acts in dubbed corpus of the study based on Searle's (1979) categorization?

Q₂: what is the impact of the English to Persian translations of illocutionary acts on Persian communicative preferences?

3.1 Corpora

The corpora of the study were three films of Hollywood director Stanley Kubrick. These films are as follow:

3.2 Procedure

Movie title in English	Movie title in Persian	Genre	Year of production	Director	Running time	Dubbing department
Dr. Strangelove	دکتر استرنجلاو	Comedy	1964	Stanley Kubrick	95 min	IRIB
The Shining	درخشش	Horror	1980	Stanley Kubrick	143 min	IRIB
The Full Metal Jacket	غلاف تمام فلزی	War	1987	Stanley Kubrick	166 min	IRIB

This part is an attempt to clarify the route through which the data were used for analysis. At first, the whole dialogues of the movies in source language have been extracted and converted in to the written form. Inasmuch as Searle’s (1979) Categories of Illocutionary Act of the movie are the main concerns of this study, they were identified within speech acts. In other words, dialogues were examined that any of them can be marked as illocutionary acts of Searle’s category. In the next stage, the whole dialogues of the movies that were being dubbed in IRIB have been transformed to written text. Finally, the dubbed illocutionary speech acts according to Searle’s category came under analysis and the Persian communicative preferences were examined by comparing the texts in two language.

3.3 Data analysis & Discussion

3.3.1 Results

There are various types of results based on the recognition of Searle’s illocutionary category. At first, there is a comparison between numbers of words between the two versions of data. Table 3.1 indicates the number of words representing speech acts in the source and the target corpora.

Table 3.1 words in the source and the target corpora

Row	Movie Title	Words in English version	Words in dubbed version (Persian translation)
1	<i>Dr. Strangelove</i>	10577 words	9225 words
2	<i>The Shining</i>	9364 words	6285 words
3	<i>The Full Metal Jacket</i>	10236 words	8524 words

3.3.2 Searle’s illocutionary acts

Searl (1979) categorizes illocutionary Acts to five macro classes:

1) Representative, 2) Directive, 3) Commissive, 4) Expressive, 5) Declaration

1. Representative: It commits the speaker to the truth of the statements such as: assert, affirm, deny, assure, argue....

2. Directive: Is attempt that is done by the speaker to get the hearer to do something; the speaker wants to achieve a future situation in which the worl will match his words such as: request, urge, tell, require, demand....

3. Commissive: like directives is concerned with modifying the world to match the words, but the point is to commit the speaker himself to acting and involves intention in the future such as: commit, promise, threaten, vow, pledge,

4. Epressive: hs the function of expressing or to make understand to the hearer what the speaker’s psychology is. It express various psychological states such as: apologize, thank, condole, congratulate, protest,

5. Declaration: is illocution whose successful performance brings about the correspondence between the proportional content and reality. Those kinds of speech acts that change the world through their utterances such as: declare, resign, appoint, approve,

***Example for film: The Shining**

WENDY: It's really pretty outside. How about taking me for a walk after you've finished your breakfast?

JACK: Oh I suppose I ought try to do some writing first.

وندی: فضای بیرون خیلی قشنگه، صبحونهات رو که خوردی میای بریم پیاده روی؟
جک: گمونم قرار بود که اول به فکر نوشتن باشم..

WENDY: Jack stay away from me please. Don't hurt me! Don't hurt me!

JACK: I'm not going to hurt you.

وندی: نزدیک نیا، کاریم نداشته باش، نزدیک نیا.
جک: من کاری ندارم.

***Example for film: Dr.Strangelove**

Bombardier: Major Kong is it possible that this is some kind of loyalty test. You know, give the go code and then recall to see who would actually go?

Kong: Ain't nobody ever got the go code yet. And old Ripper wouldn't be giving us plan R unless them Russkies had already clobbered Washington and a lot of other towns with a sneak attack.

Bombardier: Yes, sir.

نفر بمب افکن: سرگرد کان امکان داره این یه نوع آزمایش باشه. شاید میخوان ببینن آیا ما از پایگاه تأییدیه می گیریم یا نه.
کانگ: ولی تا حالا هیچکس از این شوخی ها نکرده. ضمناً جزء محالته که دستور اجرای نقشه «آر» رو صادر کنن مگر اینکه واشنگتن یا شهرهای دیگه ما در معرض خطر حمله باشه
نفر بمب افکن: بله قربان

Strangelove: sir! I have a plan. Heh. Mein Fuhrer, I can walk!

استرنجلاو: قربان، من نقشه ای دارم، بیایید خیال خودمون رو راحت بکنیم

Kong: Stay on the bomb run, Ace. I'm going down below to see what I can do.

Copilot: Roger.

کانگ: همین مسیر رو ادامه بدین من خودم برم ببینم چه کار میتونم بکنم
کمک خلبان: بله

Ripper: Mandrake! Come here!

Mandrake: You calling me, Jack?

Ripper: Just come over here and help me with this belt.

Mandrake: I Ah..., I haven't had very much experience, you know, with those sort of machines, Jack. I only ever pressed a button in my old Spitfire.

ریپر: ماندریک بیا اینجا
ماندریک: میخوای من پیام اونجا؟
ریپر: میخوام برای نگره داشتن قطار فشنگ کمکم کنی.
ماندریک: من، میدونی من هیچ تجربه ای در به کار بردن اینجور ماشین ها ندارم.
بلد نیستم ماشه این دستگاه ها رو بچونم.

Ripper: Mandrake, in the name of Her Majesty and the Continental Congress come here and feed me this belt, boy!

Mandrake: Jack, I'd love to come. But, what's happened, you see, is the string in my legs gone.

Ripper: The what?

Mandrake: The string. I never told you, but, you see, I've got a gammy leg. Oh dear. Gone. Shot off.

Ripper: Mandrake, come over here. The Red Coats are coming. Come on!

ریپر: به نام ملکه انگلستان و کنگره بین قاره ها بیا اینجا کمکم کن...
ماندریک: جک خیلی دلم میخواد که پیام ولی باور کن که پاهام قدرت حرکت کردن ندارن. البته تا حالا اینو بهت نگفته بودم اما اتفافی برای پاهام افتاده که گاهی به کلی از کار میوفته.
ریپر: ماندریک، زودباش بیا اینجا بهت احتیاج دارم، بجنب. (کت قرمزها دارن میان)

Kong: Goldie, how many times have I told you guys that I don't want no horsin' around on the airplane?

Goldie: I'm not horsin' around, sir, that's how it decodes.

کانگ: جودی، چند دفعه بهتون گفتم تو هواپیما لیست اسب های برنده رو مطالعه نکنین؟
جودی: من اینکار رو نکردم قربان رمز اینو میگه.

* Kong: Well I've been to one world fair a picnic and a rodeo and that's the stupidest thing I ever heard come over a set of earphones. You sure you got today's code?

Goldie: Yes, sir, it is.

(2) کانگ: در تمام مدتی که کنترل هواپیما رو در دست داشتم این احمقانه ترین چیزیه که می شنوم، مطمئنی ورقه قرمز امروز جلوته؟
جودی: بله قربان خودشه.

***Example for film: Full Metal Jacket**

*JOKER: I don't think Leonard can hack it anymore. I think Leonard's a Section Eight.

COWBOY: It don't surprise me.

JOKER: I want to slip my tube steak into your sister. What'll you take in trade?

COWBOY: What have you got?

جوکر: فکر نکنم دوره رو بتونه به آخر برسونه به نظرم اون پاک زده به سرش.
کابوی: من که تعجب نمیکنم.
جوکر: کاش پدر و مادرش اینجا بودن و باهاش حرف میزدن. تو فکر میکنی تأثیری داشت؟
کابوی: آره فکر میکنم.

*ANIMAL MOTHER: Doc Jay and Eightball are wasted! There's only one sniper, nothing else. Move up the squad! You're clear up to here! Come on!

COWBOY: Son-of-a-bitch. Okay, listen up! No-Doze, Stutten, Donlon, Rock--you

Come with me, we'll take a look! The rest of you stay put and cover our ass! We may be coming back in a big hurry!

انیمال مادر: اون دو تا مُردن، قبل از اینکه اون شلیک کنه، از همون طرف جوخه رو بیار این وری فهمیدی؟
کابوی: عجب گیری افتادم. خيله خوب گوش کنین، هیچکس حق نداره بی خبر کاری بکنه، شما چند نفر با من میاین. بقیه همیجامیمونن و مراقبه اوضاع، شاید مجبور بشیم با عجله فرار کنیم.

* HARTMAN: I'll bet you're the kind of guy that would fuck a person in the ass and not even have the goddam common courtesy to give him a reach- around! I'll be watching you!

هارتمن: تو جهنمی که به دنیا اومدی همه نوع آشغال پیدا میشه، حواست باشه اگر کوچکترین غلطی بکنی به گوشم میرسه، اونوقت من میدونم و تو.

* HARTMAN: Bullshit! I can't hear you!

PYLE: Sir, yes, sir!

HARTMAN: Bullshit! I still can't hear you! Sound off like you got a pair!

PYLE: Sir, yes, sir!

هارتمن: صداتونمیشنوم بلندتر بگو

پایل: خوبم قربان

هارتمن: لعنت به تو به زحمت حرفاتو میفهمم مته یک سگ واق بزن

پایل: خوبم قربان.

*HARTMAN: How tall are you, Private?

COWBOY: Sir, five foot nine, sir!

هارتمن: اسم این کار رو چی میداری؟

کابوی: قربان انجام وظیفه قربان.

* HARTMAN: Five foot nine? I didn't know they stacked shit that high! You trying to squeeze an inch in on me somewhere, huh?

COWBOY: Sir, no, sir.

هارتمن: هیچکس تا حالا همچین جفنگی بهم نگفته بود چاپلوس، داری تملق میگی، میخوای ازم سوء استفاده کنی؟
کابوی: نه قربان

● **TOUCHDOWN:** Well, if you people came looking for a story, this is your lucky day. We got Condition Red and we're definitely expecting rain.

JOKER: Outstanding, sir. We taking care of business.

تاچداون: شماها اومدین اینجا گزارش تهیه کنین؟ فکر میکنم خوشتونبیاد، چون شرایط اونقدر خرابه که هرلحظه ممکنه بهمون حمله بشه.
جوکر: ما برای انجام کارمون هر شرایطی رو تحمل میکنیم.

● **T.H.E. ROCK:** You're going home now.

CRAZY EARL: Semper fi.

DONLON: We're mean marines, sir.

EIGHTBALL: Go easy, bros.

ANIMAL MOTHER: Better you than me.

راک: برمیگردید خونه؟
کرزی ارل: اونا راحت شدن.
دونلون: ما تفنگدارای لایقی نیستیم
ایت بال: راحت بخوابین بچه ها.
انیمال مادر: خوب شد من مردم

● **RAFTERMAN:** JOKER we're gonna have to put you up for the Congressional Medal of Ugly!

رفترمن: جوکر به خاطر این کارت باید ارتش بهت مدال افتخار بده.

3.3.3 Statistical analysis based on Searle's illocutionary acts

This part contains statistics analysis of data based on Searle's categorization

Table 3.2. Searle's categorization statistics in film

"Shining"

Row	Representative	Directive	Commissive	Expressive	Declarative	Total
No.	21	16	3	5	0	45
Correspond No.	15	6	3	5	0	29

Table 3.3 .Searle's Categorization statistics in film: "Shining"

Row	Representative						Directive				Commissive				Expressive				Declarative		
IF	Stating	Guessing	Assuring	Informing	Suggesting	Total	Requesting	Demand	Forbidden	Advising	Total	Promising	Threatening	Accepting	Total	Apologizing	Blaming	Welcoming	Like	Total	-
Fr.	8	1	2	4	6	21	13	1	1	1	16	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	2	5	0
Pr.	38	5	9	19	28	47	81	6	6	6	35	33	33	33	7	20	20	20	40	11	0

Table 3.4. Searle’s categorization statistics in film “Dr. Strangelove”

Row	Representative	Directive	Commissive	Expressive	Declarative	Total
No.	7	24	2	6	2	41
Correspond No.	4	16	2	3	2	27

Row	Representative					Directive					Commissive			Expressive			Declarative		
IF	Stating	Claiming	Affirming	Suggesting	Total	Demand	Requesting	Ordering	Pegging	Advising	Total	Refusing	Threatening	Total	Praising	Blaming	Total	Confirming	Total
Fr.	4	1	1	1	7	2	3	15	1	3	24	1	1	2	1	5	6	2	2
Pr.	57	14	14	14	17	8	12	62	4	12	59	50	50	5	17	83	15	100	5

Table 3.5. Searle’s Categorization statistics in film: “Dr. Strangelove”

Table 3.6. Searle’s categorization statistics in film “The Full Metal Jacket”

Row	Representative	Directive	Commissive	Expressive	Declarative	Total
No.	14	33	5	4	3	59
Correspond No.	7	24	3	4	2	40

Row	Representative				Directive				Commissive			Expressive				Declarative		
IF	Stating	Suggesting	Describing	Total	Requesting	Advising	Ordering	Total	Refusing	Threatening	Total	Praising	Blaming	Sorrowing	Total	Appointing	Nominating	Total
Fr.	6	2	6	14	13	7	13	33	1	4	5	2	1	1	4	2	1	3
Pr.	43	14	43	24	39	21	39	56	20	80	8	50	25	25	7	67	33	5

Table 3.6 Searle’s Category statistics in film: “The Full Metal Jacket”

IV. Discussion

Austin had taken all illocutionary acts to be differentiated and defined according to conventional roles they were playing: in the absence of conventions to determine these roles, performances of these acts would be strictly impossible. Warnock (1973) and Searle (1989) sided with Austin, claiming that the difference between speech acts is only that the former invoke merely linguistic conventions while the latter invoke wider social conventions. All seem to agree, however, that despite some borderline cases, there is a fundamental difference between the kinds.

The present study is conducted to see if the ultimate meanings of actor's dialogues are carried through the dubbed versions. Then a set of findings in translation have been employed to lay great emphasis on those dialogues delivering speech acts based on Searle's categorization (1979) and considering the challenges of dubbing process. The study indicated that speech acts were incorrectly used or changed considering the meaning in the target language. There might be some reasons for incorrect dubbed version such as Persian religious and cultural considerations. The findings also demonstrated that although the percentage of correspondence between main dialogues and dubbed versions is high, some sentences were totally changed in meaning and effect based on Persian communicative preferences and led to viewer's erroneous understanding.

On the other hand, Strawson (1964) claims that there is a large class of illocutionary acts that are differentiated not by reference to conventional roles of any sort, but by reference to the purpose of the speaker in speaking. Strawson claimed that contrary to Austin's views, only speech acts are "essentially conventional and illocutionary acts are completed when the hearer recognizes that the speaker has a certain kind of intention in speaking. Schiffer (1972), Bach & Harnish (1979) and Recanati (1987) agreed with Strawson in that speech acts are defined according to certain kinds of Gricean intentions expressed by speakers.

V. Conclusion and Implications

5.1 Conclusion

Simply, an explanation of meaning about speech act is an action showed through utterances. One can tell whether a statement, if viewed as an act is successful or not with reference to the speaker's intention and to decide whether the statement is true or false. In sociolinguistic studies context is a key concept for understanding the nature of communication in general. The communicative preferences included in speech acts play a crucial role in conveying intentions and social interactions. Some Iranian film translators do not follow the track of the intended meaning of the source text in translating the speech acts and perform based on their communicative preferences which leads to the lack of correspondence between the original text and the dubbed ones.

5.2 Implications

The result of the study implied remarks that can be applicable for film translator in IRIB. Translators are required to produce what Munday (2009) calls the "ultimate effect" or speaker's intended meaning as it was in the original text. Translators should attach importance to the fidelity to the intended meaning of the cast who produced the work, the movie pretty much the same way as to the accessibility of original dialogues for Persian viewer (target language). However, this research attempted to raise alertness on the issues concerning film translation, dubbing profession before translators achieve it through years of experience and practice as their professions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bach & Harnish (1979). *Linguistic Communication and Speech Acts*, MIT Press.
- [2] Baker, M (1992) *In other words: A course book on Translation studies*, Routledge.
- [3] Baker, M., & G. Saldanha (2009). *Routledge Encyclopedia of translation studies*, 2nd edition, Routledge.
- [4] Bell, R. (1991). *Translation and translating*, Longman.
- [5] Munday, J. (2001). *Introducing translation studies: theories and applications*. Routledge.
- [6] Munday, J. (2009). *The routledge companion to translation studies*. Taylor & Francis Group.
- [7] Newmark, P. (1988). *A text book of translation*. Prentice Hall. Nord, C. (2005). *Text analysis in translation: Theory, methodology and didactic application*. Rodopi.

- [8] Recanati, F. (1987). *Meaning and Force; the Pragmatics of Performative Utterances*, Cambridge University Press.
- [9] Schneider, Ulrich. (1976). *On the Seventh Rock Edict of Asoka*, In Journal of the Oriental Institute.
- [10] Ross, Malcolm, (1998). *The lexicon of Proto Oceanic: The culture and environment of ancestral Oceanic society*, Pacific linguistics. Series C.
- [11] Searle, J. (1969). *Speech acts: An essay in the philosophy of language*. Cambridge University Press.
- [12] Searle, J. (1979). *Expression and meaning: Studies in the theory of speech acts*. Cambridge University Press.
- [13] Searle & Vanderveken, (1985). *Foundations of Illocutionary Logic*, Cambridge University Press.
- [14] Schiffer, Stephen R. (1972). *Meaning*, Oxford: Clarendon press. Sherzer, Joel. (1987). *A discourse-centered approach to language and culture*, American Anthropologist.
- [15] Strawson, P. F. (1964). *Intention and Convention in Speech Acts*, the Philosophical Review, Vol. 73, No. 4.
- [16] Warnock, G.J. (1973). *Some types of performative utterance. Essays on J. L. Austin*, Oxford U.P.